Damage Evaluation of Concrete Structure in Disaster Areas due to the Great East Japan Earthquake

Tetsuya SUZUKI[1]*, Toshihiro MORII[1], Takayuki KAWAI[2]

Summary

   The Great East-Japan Earthquake hit Tohoku area in Japan on March 11, 2011. A large number of concrete structures were damaged due to the 9.0 magnitude earthquake of the Richter-scale. Prior to reconstruction and retrofit of these structures, damage evaluation of in-situ concrete structures and its materials are now in urgent demand. In this concern, quantitative damage estimation of concrete is proposed to be performed, applying acoustic emission (AE) measurement in the compression test of core samples. The procedure is named DeCAT (**D**amage **E**stimation of **C**oncrete by **A**coustic Emission **T**echnique), which is based on the rate process analysis and is applied to theoretically estimate the intact modulus of elasticity in concrete. Prior to the compression test, distribution of micro-cracks in a concrete-core sample is inspected by helical X-ray computed tomography (CT), which scans at one-millimeter intervals. Then, damaged samples are tested by the compression test. Concrete-core samples were taken out of a concrete water canal before and after the earthquake. It is demonstrated that the decrease in mechanical properties could be evaluated by comparing an average CT number with the "rate" of AE generation, which is analyzed by AE rate process. A relation between AE rate and damage parameters is correlated in the DeCAT system, and thus the damage of concrete is quantitatively estimated.

   The durability of concrete structure could decrease drastically due to earthquakes, in particular, seismic wave-motions. The degree of damage in concrete structures is, in most cases, evaluated from mechanical properties. For effective damage estimation of concrete structures, it is necessary to evaluate not only the crack distribution but also the degree of mechanical properties. By the authors, quantitative damage evaluation of concrete is proposed by applying acoustic emission (AE) method and damage mechanics (Suzuki *et al.*, 2010). The procedure is named DeCAT (**D**amage **E**stimation of **C**oncrete by **A**coustic Emission **T**echnique) (Suzuki *et al.*, 2009; Suzuki *et al.*, 2010).

   In this study, core samples were drill out from a damaged water-canal of concrete, which has been subjected to the influence of the Great East Japan Earthquake. These samples were taken out both before and after the earthquake in the same structure. The crack distribution in core concrete was inspected with helical CT scans, which were made at one-millimeter intervals. After helical CT scan, concrete damage was evaluated, based on fracturing behavior under compression with AE measurement. The decreases in physical properties due to the earthquake are evaluated by the CT values, mechanical properties and relative damages. Thus, it is shown that concrete structures in service damaged due to the earthquakes could be quantitatively evaluated by AE.

ANALYTICAL PROCEDURE

1. AE parameter analysis based on the rate-process theory

   The AE behavior of a concrete sample under unconfined compression is associated with the generation of micro-cracks. These micro-cracks gradually are accumulated until final fracture that severely reduces load-bearing capacity. The number of AE events, which correspond to the generation of these cracks, increases accelerated by the accumulation of micro-cracks. It appears that this process is dependent on the number of cracks at a certain stress level and the progress rate of the fracture stage, and thus could be subjected to a stochastic process. Therefore, the rate process theory is introduced to quantify AE behavior under unconfined compression (Suzuki *et al.*, 2010). The following equation of the rate process is formulated to represent AE occurrence dN due to the increment of stress from V to $V+dV$,

$$f(V)dV = \frac{dN}{N},\tag{1}$$

where N is the total number of AE events and $f(V)$ is the probability function of AE at stress level V(%). For $f(V)$ in Eq.1, the following hyperbolic function is assumed,

$$f(V) = \frac{a}{V}+b,\tag{2}$$

where a and b are empirical constants. Here, the value 'a' is named the rate.

[1]* Faculty of Agriculture, Niigata University

[2] Research Institute for Natural Hazard and Disaster Recovery, Niigata University

* Corresponding author : suzuki@agr.niigata-u.ac.jp

Fig. 1. Analytical flow of DeCAT system.

In Eq. 1, the value of '*a*' reflects AE activity at a designated stress level, such that at low stress level the probability varies, depending on whether the rate '*a*' is positive or negative. Two possible relations of probability function *f(v)* is shown in Fig. 1. In the case that the rate '*a*' is positive, the probability of AE activity is high at a low stress level, indicating that the structure is damaged. In the case of the negative rate, the probability is low at a low stress level, revealing that the structure is in stable condition. Therefore, it is possible to quantitatively evaluate the damage in a concrete structure using AE measurement under unconfined compression by the rate process analysis.

Based on Eqs.1 and 2, the relationship between total number of AE events N and stress level V is represented as the following equation,

$$N = CV^a \exp(bV) \qquad (3)$$

Where C is the integration constant.

2. Analytical damage estimation of concrete by the scalar damage model

A damage parameter Ω in damage mechanics can be defined as a relative change in modulus of elasticity, as follows,

$$\Omega = 1 - \frac{E}{E^*}, \qquad (4)$$

where E is the modulus of elasticity of concrete and E^* is the modulus of elasticity of concrete which is assumed to be intact and undamaged.

Loland assumed that the relationship between damage parameter Ω and strain ε under unconfined compression is expressed (Loland, 1989),

$$\Omega = \Omega_0 + A_0 \varepsilon^\lambda, \qquad (5)$$

where Ω_0 is the initial damage at the onset of the unconfined compression test, and A_0 and λ are empirical constants of the concrete.

The following equation is derived from Eqs. 4 and 5,

$$\sigma = (E_0 - E^* A_0 \varepsilon^\lambda) \varepsilon, \qquad (6)$$

here,

$$E_0 = E^*(1 - \Omega_0). \qquad (7)$$

As given in Eq. 5, the initial damage Ω_0 in damage mechanics represents an index of damage. In Loland's model (Eq. 6), it is fundamental to know Young's modulus of the intact concrete (E^*). However, it is not easy to obtain E^* from an existing structure. Therefore, it is attempted to estimate E^* from AE monitoring in compression test. Two relations between total number of AE events and stress level and between stress and strain are taken into account. Based on a correlation between these two relationships, a procedure is developed to evaluate the intact modulus from AE analysis. A correlation between the damage parameter 'λ' and the

rate 'a' derived from AE rate process analysis is shown in Fig. 2. Good correlation between the 'λ'' and the rate 'a'' value is confirmed. Results of all samples damaged due to the freeze-thawed process in model experiments are plotted by gray circles. A linear correlation between 'λ'' and the rate 'a'' value is reasonably assumed. The equation of λ' is expressed,

$$\lambda' = a'X + Y,$$
$$\lambda + (a \times 100) = (a \times 100)X + Y, \tag{8}$$

here

$$\lambda = \frac{E_c}{E_0 - E_c}. \tag{9}$$

Fig. 2. AE database of DeCAT.

Here, it is assumed that $E_0 = E^*$ when $a = 0.0$. This allows us to estimate Young's modulus of intact concrete E^* from AE database (Fig. 2) as,

$$E^* = E_c + \frac{E_c}{Y}. \tag{10}$$

In this study, the concrete damage is evaluated by relative moduli E'. The equation of E' is expressed,

$$E' = \frac{E^*}{E_0} \times 100. \tag{11}$$

Here E_0 is the tangent modulus of elasticity in the compression test.

EXPERIMENTS

1. Specimens

Core samples of 10cm in diameter and about 20cm in height were taken from a concrete open-canal wall in Miyagi prefecture, Japan. The concrete wall of the canal was subjected to the Great East Japan Earthquake (Fig. 3). Typical seismic-waves detected are shown in Fig. 4, compared with one of the Great Hanshin-Awaji Earthquake. The sampling structure was constructed 8 years ago, and is not severely damage as observed.

Core samples are classified into two types of Type A and Type B. Type A samples are not subjected to the effects of the earthquake. These samples were drilled out in October, 2009 surely before the Great East Japan Earthquake hit Tohoku area. Type B samples were drilled out of the concrete canal at close locations to cores of Type A in January, 2012 after the Great East Japan Earthquake. In addition, the ultrasonic testing was conducted in the same canal walls pre- and post- earthquake conditions.


Fig. 3. Overview of sampling site subjected to the Great East Japan Earthquake.

Fig. 4. Detected earthquake waves in Japan.

Table 1. Setting used for helical CT scan.

Helical Pitch	15.0
Slice Thickness	1.0mm
Speed	7.5mm/rotation
Exposure	120kV and 300mA
Recon Matrix	512×512
Field of View	100-200mm

Fig. 5. Test setup for AE monitoring in core test.

2. Visual observation of inner damage using X-ray computed tomography method

Prior to the compression tests, core samples were inspected with helical CT scans at the Animal Medical Center, Nihon University. The helical CT scan was undertaken at one-millimeter intervals. The measurement conditions are summarized in Table 1. The output images are visualized in gray scale, where air appears as dark area and the densest areas appear as white in the image. The exact positioning was ensured using a laser positioning device. Experimental samples were scanned constantly at 0.5mm pitch overlapping. A total of 400 2D-images were obtained from each specimen depending on the specimen length. These 2D images can be assembled to provide 3D representation of core specimens. The CT scanning system operates, collecting X-ray absorption values. The values of the absorption coefficients are transformed into CT numbers using the international Hounsfield scale.

3. Compression test with AE

A uniaxial compression test was conducted as illustrated in Fig.5. Silicon grease was pasted on the top and the bottom of the specimen, and a Teflon sheet was inserted to reduce AE events generated by friction between the loading plate and the specimen. The SAMOS-AE system (manufactured by PAC) was employed as the measuring device. AE signals were detected by using AE sensor (R15α: resonance frequency: approx. 150 kHz). To count the number of AE hits, the threshold level was set to 42dB with 40dB gain in a pre-amplifier and 20dB gain in a main amplifier. For event counting, the dead time was set to as 2ms. It should be noted that AE measurement was conducted with one channels as the same as the measurement of axial and lateral strains.

Table 2. Mechanical properties of core samples.

Samples	Sample Size	Compressive Strength (N/mm^2)	CT Value	Relative Damage (E_0/E^*)
Pre-earthquake samples (Type A) (October, 2009)	15	18.5-30.1 (25.0)	+1,542 - +1,833	0.814-0.964 (0.872)
Post-earthquake samples (Type B) (January, 2012)	12	20.5-31.5 (24.8)	+143 - +1,054	0.696-0.928 (0.798)

Min.-Max. (Average)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Mechanical properties of concrete-core samples

The mechanical properties are summarized in Table 2, with the maximum and the minimum values of all specimens. The compressive strength is 25.0N/mm^2 as the average in the pre-earthquake condition, while that of the post-earthquake condition is 24.8 N/mm^2. Thus, the decrease in the mechanical properties is not clearly observed.

2. X-ray observation of damaged concrete

The crack distributions of core samples were measured by the helical CT scanner with test conditions in Table 1. The CT number obtained in Hounsfield Units (HU) represents the mean X-ray absorption associated with each area on the CT image. The CT numbers vary according to the material properties, generally adjusted to 0.0 for water and to -1,000 for air.

In this experiment, it was found that the CT numbers were +130 to +1,780 for pores and +2,000 over for aggregate. At cross-sections of Type A sample (pre-earthquake condition), the average CT numbers varied between +1,542 and +1,833. In contrast, in Type B sample (post-earthquake condition), at the regions where small cracks were observed, the average CT numbers varied between +143 and +1,054, showing the decrease in the CT values. Suzuki *et al.* (2009) carried out experiments to compare the CT values in cracked and non-cracked concrete. It is demonstrated that the decrease in the CT values are definitely observed in damaged parts. As a result, damage evolution is surely confirmed in the concrete sample subjected to the earthquake.

3. Quantitative damage evaluation of concrete by estimated intact Young's modulus E^*

A relative damage is estimated from the ratios of initial Young's moduli E_0 to intact E^*. The intact modulus E^* is estimated by AE database (Fig. 2). The compressive strength and the relative damage were determined as the damage index (Suzuki *et al.*, 2010). Results of these parameters are summarized in Fig. 6. A relationship between the compressive strengths and the relative damage in Type A is similar to that of Type B, although the relative damages are definitely lower in Type B than in Type A. This confirms that the effect of the earthquake results remarkably in the


Fig. 6. Relations between relative damages E_0/E^* and compressive strengths in pre- and post- earthquake conditions.

decrease in the relative damage. These results suggest that the strength may not be a key factor for the durability, while the relative damage (E_0/E^*) is really sensitive to it. Along the canal wall of 1.2 km, the longitudinal-wave velocities were measured before and the after the earthquake with 100 m interval. The modulus of elasticity, E, was estimated from the velocity, and the relative damage at the location of the velocity measurement is estimated as E/E^*, where the modulus E^* of the core sample closest to the location was applied.

In Fig. 7 and Fig. 8, these relative values in the canal are compared with the compressive strengths determined at their locations. It is clearly observed that the relative damages estimated are in reasonable agreement with the compressive strengths in damaged structure. In Type B (post-earthquake) samples, the relative damages E_0/E^* vary from 0.696 to 0.925 and are estimated as below 1.0 which implies the damaged condition. Comparing results of Type A with those of Type B, it is quantitatively observed that the relative damages estimated in Type B (post-earthquake samples) are clearly lower than those in Type A.

In Fig. 9, a relationship between E_d/E^* in Type A and

Fig. 7. Spatial distribution of concrete damage in monitoring canal (Type A).


Fig. 8. Spatial distribution of concrete damage in monitoring canal (Type B).

Type B is similar to that of E_0/E^*. These results are confirmed that non-destructive indicator E_d (dynamic Young's modulus) is effective for damage evaluation of concrete. These results suggest that damage parameter E_d/E^* may be a useful indicator for the durability.

CONCLUSION

For quantitative estimation of damage in concrete, AE monitoring is applied to the uniaxial compression test of concrete samples. The procedure is named DeCAT (**D**amage **E**stimation of **C**oncrete by **A**coustic Emission **T**echnique), which is based on estimating the intact modulus of elasticity in concrete. In this study, DeCAT system is applied to concrete-core samples taken from a concrete water-canal which is affected by the Great East Japan Earthquake. It is quantitatively demonstrated that concrete of the canal is damaged. In addition, applying the velocity measurement, spatial distribution of the damage in the canal is readily determined. Reasonable agreement with spatial distribution of the relative damages is confirmed by the results of AE generation behavior in the core test.


Fig. 9. Comparison of E_0/E^* and E_d/E^*.

REFERENCES

Gross, C.U. and Ohtsu, M. Edit.: Acoustic Emission Testing, Springer, pp. 3-10, 2008.

Loland, K. E.: Continuous Damage Model for Load - Response Estimation of Concrete, *Cement and Concrete Research*, 10, pp.395-402, 1989.

Ohtsu, M. and Suzuki, T.: Quantitative damage evaluation of concrete core based on AE rate-process analysis, *Journal of Acoustic Emission*, 22, pp.30-38, 2004.

Suzuki, T., Watanabe, H. and Ohtsu, M.: Quantitative damage evaluation of concrete by acoustic emission, *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, 5, pp. 809-816, 2002.

Suzuki, T., Ikeda, Y., Komeno, G. and Ohtsu, M.: Damage Evaluation in concrete based on Acoustic Emission Database, *Proceedings of the JCI*, 26(1), pp. 1791-1796, 2004.

Suzuki, T., Komeno, G., Ikeda, Y. and Ohtsu, M.: Damage evaluation of core concrete by AE rate process analysis, *Journal of Materials, Concrete Structures and Pavements,* No.809/V-70, pp.29-39, 2006.

Suzuki, T., Ohtsu, M. and Shigeishi, M.: Relative damage evaluation of concrete in a road bridge by AE rate-process analysis, *Materials and Structures*, 40, pp. 221-227, 2007.

Suzuki, T., Naka, T., Aoki M. and Ohtsu, M.: Development of the DeCAT System for Damage Estimation of Concrete, *The 13th International Conference Structural Faults+Repair-2010*, 2010.

Suzuki, T., Ogata, H., Takada, R., Aoki, M. and Ohtsu, M.: Use of Acoustic Emission and X-Ray Computed Tomography for Damage Evaluation of Freeze-Thawed Concrete, *Construction and Building Materials*, 24, pp. 2347-2352, 2011.

東日本大震災で被災したコンクリート構造物の損傷度評価に関する研究

鈴木哲也[1]*・森井俊広[1]・河合隆行[2]

（平成25年1月31日受付）

要　約

　東日本大震災（2011年3月11日発生）により被災したコンクリート構造物では、補修・補強工の前提として既存施設の損傷度評価が技術的課題となっている。本研究では、震災の影響を受けたコンクリート製開水路を対象にコンクリート・コアを用いて材料損傷の定量的評価を試みた結果を報告する。実験的検討では、微小ひび割れの分布をX線CT法により同定した後に、DeCATシステムとして開発しているAE計測を含む圧縮強度試験により損傷度を評価した。検討の結果、地震損傷によるコンクリート力学特性の低下傾向はCT値とAE発生頻度の関係から評価可能であることが示唆された。AE発生頻度と損傷パラメータとは密接に関連しており、そのことを前提にコンクリートの定量的損傷度評価は可能であることが示唆された。

新大農研報, 65(2):157-163, 2013

キーワード：AE，損傷力学，コンクリート損傷，東日本大震災

[1]* 新潟大学農学部生産環境科学科
[2] 新潟大学災害・復興科学研究所
* 代表著者：suzuki@agr.niigata-u.ac.jp