

## Residues of complex analytic foliation singularities

By Tatsuo SUWA

(Received Nov. 15, 1982)

In [3], Baum and Bott defined the residues of complex analytic foliation singularities and proved a general residue formula using differential geometry based on the Bott vanishing theorem. Let  $M$  be a complex manifold. We define a foliation (of complete intersection type) on  $M$  to be a locally free subsheaf  $F$  of the cotangent sheaf  $\Omega_M$  which satisfies the Frobenius integrability condition outside of the singular set (=the singular set of the coherent sheaf  $\Omega_F = \Omega_M/F$ ). In this note, we express ((3.4) Theorem) a certain class of residues of  $F$  in terms of the Chern classes of  $F$  and the local Chern classes of the sheaf  $\mathcal{E}_{x,t}^1(\Omega_F, \mathcal{O})$ , which appeared in the unfolding theory ([7]). As a corollary, the rationality of these residues is shown (cf. [3] p.287 Rationality Conjecture). In a number of cases, the Riemann-Roch theorem for analytic embeddings (Atiyah-Hirzebruch [2]) can be used to compute the residues. The results of this paper were announced in [9].

This work was done while I was visiting University of Illinois at Chicago. I would like to thank especially J. Heitsch and P. Wagreich for their interest and helpful conversations. I am also grateful to H. Suzuki of Hokkaido University for reading the manuscript carefully and correcting some mistakes.

### 1. Residues.

We briefly review how the residues are defined in Baum-Bott [3]. Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complex manifold. We denote by  $\mathcal{O}_M$  (or simply by  $\mathcal{O}$ ),  $\Theta_M$  and  $\Omega_M$ , respectively, the structure sheaf, the tangent sheaf and the cotangent sheaf of  $M$ . In [3] pp.281-282, a foliation is defined to be a full integrable coherent subsheaf  $\xi$  of  $\Theta_M$ . Let  $Q$  be the quotient sheaf  $\Theta_M/\xi$ ;

$$(1.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \xi \longrightarrow \Theta_M \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0.$$

The singular set  $S$  of the foliation is defined by

$$(1.2) \quad S = \{z \in M \mid Q_z \text{ is not a free } \mathcal{O}_z\text{-module}\},$$

where for a sheaf  $\mathcal{S}$  on  $M$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_z$  denotes the stalk of  $\mathcal{S}$  over  $z$ . The sheaf  $\xi$  defines an ordinary foliation on  $M-S$ , whose codimension is denoted by  $q$ . Let  $Z$  be a connected component of  $S$  and assume that  $Z$  is compact. Take an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $Z$  in  $M$  such that  $Z$  is a deformation retract of  $U$ . Let  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  be the elementary symmetric functions in  $n$  variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . On  $U-Z$ , the sheaf  $Q$  is locally free and it admits a basic connection  $D_{-1}$ , which determines a closed  $2i$ -form  $\sigma_i(K_{-1})$  on  $U-Z$  for each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ . There exists a closed  $2i$ -form  $\omega_i$  on  $U$  which coincides with  $\sigma_i(K_{-1})$  outside of a compact set in  $U$  containing  $Z$  in its interior (cf. [3] p.312 Proof of (0.23)).

If  $\phi$  is a symmetric and homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d$  in  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , there is a polynomial  $\check{\phi}$  in  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  with  $\phi = \check{\phi}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ . We set  $\phi(Q) = (\sqrt{-1}/2\pi)^d \check{\phi}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$ , which is a closed  $2d$ -form on  $U$ . Note that in [3], the cohomology class of  $\phi(Q)$  is denoted by  $\phi(Q)$ , however here the form itself is denoted by  $\phi(Q)$ . If  $d > q$ , then by the Bott vanishing theorem ([3](3.27)),  $\phi(Q)$  has compact support and defines a cohomology class  $[\phi(Q)]$  in  $H_c^{2d}(U; \mathbf{C})$  (cohomology with compact support). We denote by  $L$  the composition of the two isomorphisms

$$(1.3) \quad H_c^{2d}(U; \mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{D_U} H_{2n-2d}(U; \mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{i_*^{-1}} H_{2n-2d}(Z; \mathbf{C}),$$

where  $D_U$  denotes the Poincaré duality map and  $i$  is the embedding  $Z \hookrightarrow U$ . Then the residue is defined by

$$\text{Res}_\phi(\xi, Z) = L([\phi(Q)]).$$

## 2. The sheaf $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\Omega_F, \mathcal{O})$ .

In [7](1.2), a (reduced) foliation is defined to be a full coherent subsheaf  $F$  of  $\Omega_M$  satisfying the integrability condition. Let  $\Omega_F$  be the quotient sheaf  $\Omega_M/F$ ;

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \Omega_M \longrightarrow \Omega_F \longrightarrow 0.$$

The two definitions are equivalent if we set ([7](1.5))  $\xi = F^a = \{\theta \in \Theta_M \mid \omega(\theta) = 0, \forall \omega \in F\}$  or  $F = \xi^a = \{\omega \in \Omega_M \mid \omega(\theta) = 0, \forall \theta \in \xi\}$ . Note that  $F^a$  is identical with the dual sheaf  $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}}(\Omega_F, \mathcal{O})$  of  $\Omega_F$ . The singular set  $S(F)$  of  $F$  is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad S(F) = \{z \in M \mid \Omega_{F,z} \text{ is not a free } \mathcal{O}_z\text{-module}\}$$

and is identical with  $S$  in (1.2). By taking the duals of (2.1), we obtain the exact sequence

$$(2.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}}(\Omega_F, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}}(\Omega_M, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}}(F, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\Omega_F, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ & & F^a & & F^* \end{array}$$

By (2.2), the support of the sheaf  $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\Omega_F, \mathcal{O})$  is in  $S$ . Comparing (1.1) and (2.3), we get the exact sequence

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow F^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\Omega_F, \mathcal{O}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

From now on we consider only foliations of complete intersection type ([7](1.10)), i.e., we assume that  $F$  is a locally free  $\mathcal{O}$ -module (of rank  $q$ ). We do not distinguish locally free sheaves from holomorphic vector bundles. Thus (2.4) can be viewed as a “decomposition” of the sheaf  $Q$  into the vector bundle part  $F^*$  and the singular part  $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\Omega_F, \mathcal{O})$ .

### 3. Residues and the local Chern classes of $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\Omega_F, \mathcal{O})$ .

Let  $F$  be a codim  $q$  foliation (of complete intersection type) and let  $Z$  be a compact connected component of the singular set  $S$ . In this section, analytic objects on  $M$  are restricted to the open set  $U$  considered in section 1. Since  $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\Omega_F, \mathcal{O})$  is a coherent sheaf on  $U$  with support in  $Z$ , there is the associated “Grothendieck element”  $\gamma_Z(\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\Omega_F, \mathcal{O}))$ , which we simply denote by  $\mathcal{E}$ , in  $K^0(U, U-Z)$  ([1] § 4, [4] Ch. I, cf. also [5]). The Chern character gives a mapping

$$\text{ch}: K^0(U, U-Z) \longrightarrow H^*(U, U-Z; \mathbf{Q}).$$

Since  $Z$  is a deformation retract of  $U$ , there is a canonical isomorphism

$$H^*(U, U-Z; \mathbf{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^*(U; \mathbf{Q}).$$

Also there is a canonical homomorphism

$$(3.1) \quad \kappa: H_c^*(U; \mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow H^*(U; \mathbf{Q}).$$

Thus  $\text{ch}(\mathcal{E})$  determines the local Chern classes  $c_1(\mathcal{E}), \dots, c_n(\mathcal{E})$  in  $H^*(U, U-Z; \mathbf{Q}) = H_c^*(U; \mathbf{Q})$  such that  $1 + \kappa(c_1(\mathcal{E})) + \dots + \kappa(c_n(\mathcal{E}))$  is the total Chern class of the coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\Omega_F, \mathcal{O})$  on  $U$ . For each integer  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , we set

$$(3.2) \quad d_k(\mathcal{E}) = \sum_{r=1}^k (-1)^r \sum_{\substack{j_1 + \dots + j_r = k \\ j_i > 0}} c_{j_1}(\mathcal{E}) \cdots c_{j_r}(\mathcal{E}).$$

Let  $c(F^*) = 1 + c_1(F^*) + \dots + c_n(F^*)$  be the (rational) total Chern class in  $H^*(U; \mathbf{Q})$  of  $F^*$ . Note that  $c_i(F^*) = 0$ ,  $q+1 \leq i \leq n$ , since  $F^*$  is a locally free sheaf of rank  $q$ . Also note that there is a canonical pairing

$$H^*(U; \mathbf{Q}) \times H_c^*(U; \mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow H_c^*(U; \mathbf{Q}).$$

(3.3) DEFINITION. For each integer  $j$  with  $q < j \leq n$ ,  $c_j(F^* - \mathcal{E})$  denotes the element

$$c_q(F^*)d_{j-q}(\mathcal{E}) + \dots + c_1(F^*)d_{j-1}(\mathcal{E}) + d_j(\mathcal{E})$$

in  $H_c^{2j}(U; \mathbf{Q})$ , and for each integer  $j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq q$ , it denotes the element

$$c_j(F^*) + c_{j-1}(F^*)\kappa(d_1(\mathcal{E})) + \cdots + c_1(F^*)\kappa(d_{j-1}(\mathcal{E})) + \kappa(d_j(\mathcal{E}))$$

in  $H^{2j}(U; \mathbf{Q})$ .

(3.4) THEOREM. *Let  $F$  be a foliation (of complete intersection type) of codim  $q$  on  $M$  and let  $U$  and  $Z$  be as above. If  $\phi = \sigma_{j_1} \cdots \sigma_{j_r}$  with  $j_\nu > q$  for some  $\nu$ , then*

$$\text{Res}_\phi(F, Z) = L(c_{j_1}(F^* - \mathcal{E}) \cdots c_{j_r}(F^* - \mathcal{E})),$$

where  $\text{Res}_\phi(F, Z) = \text{Res}_\phi(F^a, Z)$  and  $L$  is the composition of two isomorphisms in (1.3).

PROOF. Let  $D_{-1}$  be a basic connection for  $Q$  on  $U - Z$ . Since  $Q = F^*$  on  $U - Z$  and  $F^*$  is locally free on  $U$ , by [3] (4.41), the connection  $D_{-1}$  can be modified to obtain a connection  $\check{D}_{-1}$  for  $F^*$  on  $U$  such that

$$(3.5) \quad \check{D}_{-1} = D_{-1} \quad \text{on } U - \Sigma,$$

where  $\Sigma$  is a compact set in  $U$  containing  $Z$  in its interior. The connection  $\check{D}_{-1}$  determines, for each  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq q$ , a closed  $2i$ -form  $\sigma_i(F^*)$  on  $U$  such that the class of  $(\sqrt{-1}/2\pi)^i \sigma_i(F^*)$  in  $H^*(U; \mathbf{C})$  is  $c_i(F^*)$ . The equation

$$(3.6) \quad (1 + \sigma_1(Q) + \cdots + \sigma_n(Q))(1 + \sigma_1(\mathcal{E}) + \cdots + \sigma_n(\mathcal{E})) \\ = 1 + \sigma_1(F^*) + \cdots + \sigma_q(F^*)$$

can be solved to find  $\sigma_1(\mathcal{E}), \dots, \sigma_n(\mathcal{E})$  such that, for each  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $\sigma_j(\mathcal{E})$  is a closed  $2j$ -form on  $U$ . By (3.5),  $\sigma_j(Q) = \sigma_j(F)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq q$  on  $U - \Sigma$ . Also by the Bott vanishing theorem,  $\sigma_{q+1}(Q), \dots, \sigma_n(Q)$  have compact support. Hence each  $\sigma_j(\mathcal{E})$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , has compact support. Moreover, the class of  $(\sqrt{-1}/2\pi)^j \sigma_j(\mathcal{E})$  in  $H_c^*(U; \mathbf{C})$  is  $c_j(\mathcal{E})$ . If we set, for  $k=1, \dots, n$ ,

$$\tau_k(\mathcal{E}) = \sum_{r=1}^k (-1)^r \sum_{\substack{j_1 + \dots + j_r = k \\ j_\nu > 0}} \sigma_{j_1}(\mathcal{E}) \cdots \sigma_{j_r}(\mathcal{E}),$$

then we have  $(1 + \tau_1(\mathcal{E}) + \cdots + \tau_n(\mathcal{E}))(1 + \sigma_1(\mathcal{E}) + \cdots + \sigma_n(\mathcal{E})) = 1$ . From (3.6), we have

$$(3.7) \quad \sigma_j(Q) = \sum_{\substack{i+k=j \\ i, k \geq 0}} \sigma_i(F^*) \tau_k(\mathcal{E}), \quad j=1, \dots, n,$$

where we set  $\sigma_0(F^*) = \tau_0(\mathcal{E}) = 1$  and  $\sigma_{q+1}(F^*) = \cdots = \sigma_n(F^*) = 0$ . Thus if  $j > q$ , each term in the right hand side of (3.7) has compact support and the class of  $(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi})^j \sigma_j(Q)$  in  $H_c^{2j}(U; \mathbf{Q})$  is  $c_j(F^* - \mathcal{E})$  (see (3.3) Definition). Therefore, if  $\phi = \sigma_{j_1} \cdots \sigma_{j_r}$  with  $j_\nu > q$  for some  $\nu$ , then  $c_{j_1}(F^* - \mathcal{E}) \cdots c_{j_r}(F^* - \mathcal{E})$  is in  $H_c^{2j}(U; \mathbf{Q})$ ,  $j = j_1 + \cdots + j_r$ , and is the class of  $\phi(Q)$ , Q. E. D.

(3.8) COROLLARY. Let  $F$  and  $Z$  be as above and let  $\phi$  be a symmetric and homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d$  in  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . If each monomial in the expression  $\phi = \sum \phi_j(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$  contains  $\sigma_j$  with  $j > q$ , then  $\text{Res}_\phi(F, Z)$  is rational, i. e. it is in  $H_{2n-2d}(Z; \mathbf{Q})$  (cf. [3] p. 287 Rationality Conjecture).

Suppose now that  $Z$  is non-singular and that there is a holomorphic vector bundle  $E$  on  $Z$  such that  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \mathcal{O}_Z(E) = i_* \mathcal{O}_Z(E)$  (=the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_Z(E)$  of germs of holomorphic sections of  $E$  extended by zero on  $U-Z$ ), where  $i$  is the embedding  $Z \hookrightarrow U$ . Then (the finer version of) the Riemann-Roch theorem for analytic embeddings (Atiyah-Hirzebruch [2] Theorem (3.1), see also the proof of Theorem (3.3)) gives the local Chern classes of  $\mathcal{E} = \gamma_Z(\mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \mathcal{O}_Z(E))$ ;

$$(3.9) \quad \text{ch}(\mathcal{E}) = i_*(\text{td}(N)^{-1} \text{ch}(E))$$

or

$$(3.10) \quad c_1(\mathcal{E}) + \dots + c_n(\mathcal{E}) = i_* \left( \frac{c(\lambda_{-1}(N^*)) * c(E) - 1}{c_r(N)} \right),$$

where  $N$  is the normal bundle of  $Z$  in  $U$ ,  $r = \text{rank } N = \text{codim } Z$  in  $U$ ,  $\lambda_{-1}(N^*) = \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \lambda^i(N^*)$  ( $\lambda^i(N^*) = i$ -th exterior power of  $N^*$ ),  $c(\lambda_{-1}(N^*)) * c(E)$  is the total Chern class of the tensor product  $\lambda_{-1}(N^*) \otimes E$  and  $i_*$  is the Thom-Gysin homomorphism

$$(3.11) \quad i_* : H^*(Z; \mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow H^*(U, U-Z; \mathbf{Q}) = H_c^*(U; \mathbf{Q}).$$

By our assumption,  $Z$  is non-singular. Thus we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^p(Z; \mathbf{Q}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_c^{p+2r}(U; \mathbf{Q}) \\ D_Z \downarrow & \swarrow L & \downarrow D_U \\ H_{2n-2r-p}(Z; \mathbf{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\sim i_*} & H_{2n-2r-p}(U; \mathbf{Q}), \end{array}$$

where  $D_Z$  is the Poincaré duality map, and  $i_*$  in (3.11) is an isomorphism.

In particular, if the singularity is isolated, we have

(3.12) PROPOSITION. Let  $U$  be a polydisk about the origin  $0$  in  $\mathbf{C}^n$  and let  $F = (\omega)$  be a codim 1 foliation on  $U$  with an isolated singularity at  $0$ . We denote the stalks  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}^n, 0}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{F, 0}$  simply by  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_F$ , respectively. Then we have

$$\text{Res}_{\sigma_n}(F, \{0\}) = (-1)^n (n-1)! \dim_{\mathbf{C}} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_F}^1(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathcal{O}) \quad \text{in } H_0(\{0\}; \mathbf{Q}) = \mathbf{Q}.$$

PROOF. Since  $H_c^{2j}(U; \mathbf{Q}) = 0$  for  $j \neq n$ , we have  $c_j(\mathcal{E}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Also  $c_i(F^*) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ . Hence by (3.4) Theorem and (3.2), we have

$$\text{Res}_{\sigma_n}(F, \{0\}) = L(d_n(\mathcal{E})) = -L(c_n(\mathcal{E})).$$

On the other hand, for a point  $z$  in  $U$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\mathcal{Q}_F, \mathcal{O})_z} = \begin{cases} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\mathcal{Q}_F, \mathcal{O}), & \text{if } z=0, \\ 0, & \text{if } z \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

We set  $E = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\mathcal{Q}_F, \mathcal{O})$  and think of it as a vector bundle over  $Z = \{0\}$  of rank  $\mu = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} E$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\mathcal{Q}_F, \mathcal{O})} = i_1 \mathcal{O}_Z(E)$ . In (3.9), we have  $\text{td}(N) = 1$  and  $\text{ch}(E) = \mu$  in  $H^0(\{0\}; \mathbf{Q}) = \mathbf{Q}$ . Thus denoting by  $\theta$  the image of 1 by the isomorphism  $i_*: H^0(\{0\}; \mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow H_c^{2n}(U; \mathbf{Q})$ , we have

$$(3.13) \quad \text{ch}(\mathcal{E}) = \mu \theta.$$

Writing formally  $1 + c_1(\mathcal{E}) + \cdots + c_n(\mathcal{E}) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + \gamma_i)$ , we have  $\text{ch}(\mathcal{E}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (e^{\gamma_i} - 1)$ .

From (3.13),

$$\gamma_1^j + \cdots + \gamma_n^j = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ n! \mu \theta, & \text{if } j = n. \end{cases}$$

Thus we have  $n\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n + (-1)^n (\gamma_1^n + \cdots + \gamma_n^n) = 0$ . Hence  $c_n(\mathcal{E}) = \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n = (-1)^{n+1} (n-1)! \mu \theta$ . Q. E. D.

(3.14) REMARK. In the situation of (3.12), if we write  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(z) dz_i$ , then

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\mathcal{Q}_F, \mathcal{O}) = \mathbf{C}\{z_1, \dots, z_n\} / (f_1, \dots, f_n),$$

where  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbf{C}\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$  is the ring of convergent power series in  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  and  $(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  is the ideal generated by the germs of  $f_1(z), \dots, f_n(z)$  at 0 ([7] (4.5)).

Especially, if  $\omega = df$  for some  $f$ , then  $f_i = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i}$ . Thus (3.12) can be viewed as a formula for the “generalized” multiplicity (cf. [6]). For the significance of  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\mathcal{Q}_F, \mathcal{O})$ , see also [8].

Here is an example with non-isolated singular set.

(3.15) EXAMPLE. Let  $\mathbf{P}^1 = \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$  be the projective line with homogeneous coordinates  $(\zeta_0 : \zeta_1)$ . It is covered by two coordinate neighborhoods  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  with coordinates  $z_0 = \zeta_1/\zeta_0$  and  $z_1 = \zeta_0/\zeta_1$ , respectively. We denote by  $H$  the hyperplane bundle over  $\mathbf{P}^1$ . Letting  $l$  and  $m$  be two integers, consider the vector bundle  $N$  of rank 2 over  $\mathbf{P}^1$  given by  $N = H^l \oplus H^m$ . Thus  $N$  can be expressed as a union  $N = \mathbf{C}^2 \times U_0 \cup \mathbf{C}^2 \times U_1$ , where a point  $(x_0, y_0, z_0)$  in  $\mathbf{C}^2 \times U_0$  is identified with  $(x_1, y_1, z_1)$  in  $\mathbf{C}^2 \times U_1$  if and only if

$$(3.16) \quad x_0 = z_1^{-l} x_1, \quad y_0 = z_1^{-m} y_1 \quad \text{and} \quad z_0 = z_1^{-1}.$$

We identify  $\mathbf{P}^1$  with the zero section  $x_i = y_i = 0, i=0, 1$ , in  $N$ . Let  $a$  and  $b$  be positive integers satisfying  $l(a-1) = m(b-1)$ . We set  $r = l(a-1) = m(b-1)$ .  $\mathbf{C}$ : each  $W_i = \mathbf{C}^2 \times U_i, i=0, 1$ , we consider two holomorphic 1-forms  $\tau_i$  and  $\omega_i$  given by

$$\tau_i = dz_i \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_i = y_i^b dx_i - x_i^a dy_i.$$

In the intersection  $W_0 \cap W_1$ , we have

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \tau_0 \\ \omega_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -z_1^{-2} & 0 \\ x_1 y_1 z_1^{s-1} (m x_1^{a-1} - l y_1^{b-1}) & z_1^{-s} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \omega_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $s = r + l + m$ . Thus we may consider the locally free sub- $\mathcal{O}_N$ -module  $F$  of  $\mathcal{O}_N$  generated by  $\tau_i$  and  $\omega_i$  on  $W_i$ . Clearly  $F$  satisfies the integrability condition and defines a codim 2 foliation on  $N$  with singular set the zero section  $\mathbf{P}^1$ . Now we find the sheaf  $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_N}^1(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathcal{O}_N)$ . From (2.3), we have

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_N}^1(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathcal{O}_N)|_{W_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{W_i}^{\oplus 2} / \langle (0, y_i^b), (0, x_i^a), (1, 0) \rangle,$$

where the denominator in the right hand side denotes the sub- $\mathcal{O}_{W_i}$ -module of  $\mathcal{O}_{W_i}^{\oplus 2}$  generated by  $(0, y_i^b)$ ,  $(0, x_i^a)$  and  $(1, 0)$ . Hence we have

$$(3.18) \quad \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_N}^1(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathcal{O}_N)|_{W_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{W_i} / (x_i^a, y_i^b),$$

where  $(x_i^a, y_i^b)$  is the ideal generated by the sections  $x_i^a$  and  $y_i^b$ . For an element  $h$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{W_i}$ , we denote by  $[h]$  its class in  $\mathcal{O}_{W_i} / (x_i^a, y_i^b)$ . The right hand side of (3.18) is a free  $\mathcal{O}_{U_i}$ -module generated by  $[x_i^\alpha y_i^\beta]$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq a-1$ ,  $0 \leq \beta \leq b-1$ . Moreover, by (3.16), we have

$$x_0^\alpha y_0^\beta = z_1^{-(\alpha l + \beta m)} x_1^\alpha y_1^\beta.$$

Hence we may write  $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_N}^1(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathcal{O}_N) = i_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(E)$ , where  $E$  is the vector bundle over  $\mathbf{P}^1$  of rank  $ab$  given by

$$E = \bigoplus_{\substack{0 \leq \alpha \leq a-1 \\ 0 \leq \beta \leq b-1}} H^{\alpha l + \beta m}.$$

We have

$$\text{ch}(E) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \alpha \leq a-1 \\ 0 \leq \beta \leq b-1}} (1 + \eta)^{\alpha l + \beta m} = ab(1 + r\eta),$$

where  $\eta$  is the first Chern class of  $H$  and is a generator of  $H^2(\mathbf{P}^1; \mathbf{Q}) \cong \mathbf{Q}$ . On the other hand, from  $N = H^l \oplus H^m$ , we have

$$\text{td}(N)^{-1} = 1 - \frac{l+m}{2} \eta.$$

Hence we have

$$\text{td}(N)^{-1} \text{ch}(E) = ab \left( 1 + \left( r - \frac{l+m}{2} \right) \eta \right).$$

We have the Thom isomorphism  $H^p(\mathbf{P}^1; \mathbf{Q}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H^{p+4}(N, N - \mathbf{P}^1; \mathbf{Q})$ . Setting  $\theta_2 = i_*(1) \in H^4(N, N - \mathbf{P}^1; \mathbf{Q})$  and  $\theta_3 = i_*(\eta) \in H^6(N, N - \mathbf{P}^1; \mathbf{Q})$ , we have from (3.9),

$$\text{ch}(\mathcal{E}) = ab\left(\theta_2 + \left(r - \frac{l+m}{2}\right)\theta_3\right).$$

Thus we have

$$c_1(\mathcal{E})=0, \quad c_2(\mathcal{E})=-ab\theta_2 \quad \text{and} \quad c_3(\mathcal{E})=ab(2r-(l+m))\theta_3.$$

From (3.2), we have

$$d_1(\mathcal{E})=0, \quad d_2(\mathcal{E})=ab\theta_2 \quad \text{and} \quad d_3(\mathcal{E})=ab(l+m-2r)\theta_3.$$

Next we find  $c(F^*)$ . Since  $H^*(N; \mathbf{Q}) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^*(\mathbf{P}^1; \mathbf{Q})$ , it suffices to find  $c(i^*F^*)$ . From (3.17), we have  $i^*F^* = H^2 \oplus H^s$ . Thus  $c(i^*F^*) = 1 + (s+2)\eta$ . Therefore,  $c(F^*) = 1 + (s+2)\sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  denotes  $i^{*-1}\eta$  and is a generator of  $H^2(N; \mathbf{Q}) \cong \mathbf{Q}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} c_3(F^* - \mathcal{E}) &= c_2(F^*)d_1(\mathcal{E}) + c_1(F^*)d_2(\mathcal{E}) + d_3(\mathcal{E}) \\ &= ab(s+2)\sigma\theta_2 + ab(l+m-2r)\theta_3 \\ &= ab(2(l+m+1)-r)\theta_3. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Res}_{\sigma_3}(F, \mathbf{P}^1) = ab(2(l+m+1)-r) \quad \text{in} \quad H_0(\mathbf{P}^1; \mathbf{Q}) = \mathbf{Q}.$$

### References

- [ 1 ] M.F. Atiyah and F. Hirzebruch, Analytic cycles on complex manifolds, *Topology*, 1(1962), 25-45.
- [ 2 ] M. F. Atiyah and F. Hirzebruch, The Riemann-Roch theorem for analytic embeddings, *Topology*, 1(1962), 151-166.
- [ 3 ] P. Baum and R. Bott, Singularities of holomorphic foliations, *J. Differential Geometry*, 7(1972), 279-342.
- [ 4 ] P. Baum, W. Fulton and R. MacPherson, Riemann-Roch for singular varieties, *Publ. Math. IHES*, 45(1975), 101-167.
- [ 5 ] B. Iversen, Local Chern classes, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, 9(1976), 155-169.
- [ 6 ] P. Orlik, The multiplicity of a holomorphic map at an isolated critical point, *Real and Complex Singularities*, Oslo 1976, edited by P. Holm, Sijthoff and Noordhoff, 1977, 405-474.
- [ 7 ] T. Suwa, Unfoldings of complex analytic foliations with singularities, *Japan. J. Math.*, 9(1983), 181-206.
- [ 8 ] T. Suwa, A theorem of versality for unfoldings of complex analytic foliation singularities, *Invent. Math.*, 65(1981), 29-48.
- [ 9 ] T. Suwa, Singularities of complex analytic foliations, *Proc. Symposia in Pure Math.*, 40(1983), Amer. Math. Soc., 551-559.

Tatsuo SUWA  
Department of Mathematics  
Hokkaido University  
Sapporo 060  
Japan