

## A note on $l$ -parts of ray class groups

By Teruo TAKEUCHI

(Received Feb. 19, 1986)

### 1. Notation and the result.

Let  $l$  be an odd prime number and let  $k$  be an algebraic number field of finite degree. For an integer  $i > 0$ , let  $\zeta_i$  denote a primitive  $l^i$ -th root of unity and put  $k_i = k(\zeta_i)$ . For an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $k$ , let  $k(\mathfrak{a})$  denote the group of elements of  $k$  prime to  $\mathfrak{a}$  and let  $k_{\mathfrak{a}}$  denote the ray number group of  $k$  modulo  $\mathfrak{a}$ , i. e.,  $k_{\mathfrak{a}} = \{x \in k(\mathfrak{a}) \mid x \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{a}}\}$ . Further, let  $I(\mathfrak{a})$  (resp.  $P(\mathfrak{a})$ ) denote the group of ideals (resp. principal ideals) of  $k$  prime to  $\mathfrak{a}$ , and  $P_{\mathfrak{a}}$  the ray ideal group of  $k$  modulo  $\mathfrak{a}$ , i. e.,  $P_{\mathfrak{a}} = \{(x) \mid x \in k_{\mathfrak{a}}\}$ . Moreover let  $P'_{\mathfrak{a}}$  (resp.  $k'_{\mathfrak{a}}$ ) denote the group of elements of  $P(\mathfrak{a})$  (resp.  $k(\mathfrak{a})$ ) whose order modulo  $P_{\mathfrak{a}}$  (resp.  $k_{\mathfrak{a}}$ ) is prime to  $l$ . The purpose of this note is to prove the following.

**THEOREM.** *Assume  $\zeta_1 \notin k$  and  $k_1 \neq k_2$ . Let*

$$1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{g} I/P \longrightarrow 1$$

*be an abelian extension of the ideal class group  $I/P$  of  $k$  by a finite abelian  $l$ -group  $N$ . Then there exist infinitely many ideals  $S$  of  $k$  which satisfy the following: there is an isomorphism  $\Phi: I(S)/P'_S \rightarrow M$  such that  $\Phi$  induces an isomorphism  $\Phi: P(S)/P'_S \rightarrow N$  and the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & P(S)/P'_S & \longrightarrow & I(S)/P'_S & \longrightarrow & I/P \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \parallel \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & I/P \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

*commutes.*

### 2. Proof of the theorem.

Let  $(a_i)_{i=1, \dots, s}$  and  $(b_j)_{j=1, \dots, r}$  be bases of  $M$  and  $N$ , respectively. Choose distinct prime ideals  $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_s$  prime to  $l$  which represent  $g(a_1), \dots, g(a_s)$ , respectively (if  $g(a_i) = 1$ , then choose an arbitrary principal prime ideal  $\mathfrak{a}_i$ ). Put  $A =$

---

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 61540022), Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

$\langle a_1, \dots, a_s \rangle$  (the ideal group generated by  $a_1, \dots, a_s$ ). Since  $A$  is free, we can define an epimorphism  $f: A \rightarrow M$  by setting  $f(a_i) = a_i$ . Then  $f$  induces an epimorphism  $f: A \cap P \rightarrow N$ . Indeed, if  $b = \prod_i a_i^{e_i} \in A \cap P$ , then  $g(f(b)) = g(\prod_i a_i^{e_i}) = (\prod_i a_i^{e_i} \bmod P) = 1$ , hence we see  $f(b) \in N$ . Conversely, if  $b = \prod_i a_i^{e_i} \in N$ , then  $1 = g(b) = g(\prod_i a_i^{e_i}) = (\prod_i a_i^{e_i} \bmod P)$ , and so  $\prod_i a_i^{e_i} \in P$ . Thus for  $b = \prod_i a_i^{e_i} \in A \cap P$  we have  $f(b) = b$ . Therefore, since  $\ker(f) \subset A \cap P$ , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & A \cap P / \ker(f) & \longrightarrow & A / \ker(f) & \longrightarrow & A / A \cap P \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & I / P \longrightarrow 1, \end{array}$$

where  $A / A \cap P \rightarrow I / P$  is the natural injection.

Let  $F = \{x \in k \mid (x) \in A \cap P\}$ , then  $F$  is finitely generated and  $F/E_k$  is free since  $A \cap P$  is a finitely generated free abelian group, where  $E_k$  denotes the group of units of  $k$ . Hence there exists a direct decomposition  $F = E_k \oplus D$  such that  $D \cong \mathbf{Z}^m$  for some positive integer  $m$ . Let  $\varphi: F \rightarrow A \cap P / \ker(f)$  be the epimorphism defined by  $\varphi(x) = [(x) \bmod \ker(f)]$ . Let  $N_j = l^{n_j}$  be the order of  $b_j$  for  $j=1, \dots, r$ . Put  $N_0 = \max N_j$ . Since  $f \circ \varphi: F \rightarrow N$  is an epimorphism and  $E_k \subset \ker(f \circ \varphi)$ , we can choose elements  $\beta_j$  ( $j=1, \dots, r$ ) of  $D$  with  $f(\varphi(\beta_j)) = b_j$ . Let  $F_0 = \langle \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r \rangle$ , then  $D \subset F_0 \cdot \ker(f \circ \varphi)$  since  $f(\varphi(D)) = f(\varphi(F_0)) = N$ . Moreover, since  $\{f(\varphi(\beta_j))\}_{j=1, \dots, r}$  is a basis of  $N$  and  $D/D^{N_0} \cong (\mathbf{Z}/N_0\mathbf{Z})^m$ , we have a direct decomposition  $D/D^{N_0} = (F_0 \cdot D^{N_0}/D^{N_0}) \oplus (F'' \cdot D^{N_0}/D^{N_0})$  with  $F'' \subset \ker(f \circ \varphi)$ . Put  $F' = F'' \cdot E_k \cdot F^{N_0}$ ,  $\bar{F}' = F'/F^{N_0}$ , and  $\langle \bar{\beta}_j \rangle = \langle \beta_j \rangle F^{N_0}/F^{N_0}$ . Then  $F/F^{N_0} = \langle \bar{\beta}_1 \rangle \oplus \langle \bar{\beta}_2 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle \bar{\beta}_r \rangle \oplus \bar{F}'$  with  $f \circ \varphi(F') = 1$ . Furthermore, put  $F_j = \langle \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{j-1}, \beta_{j+1}, \dots, \beta_r \rangle \cdot F'$  for  $j=1, \dots, r$ .

Now, by assumption, we can choose prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$  of  $k$  which satisfy the following conditions: For  $j=1, \dots, r$ , it holds that (i)  $\mathfrak{p}_j$  is prime to  $l$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_s$ ; (ii) the decomposition field of a prime divisor of  $\mathfrak{p}_j$  in  $k_{n_j}(N_j \sqrt{F})/k$  is  $k_{n_j}(N_j \sqrt{F_j})$ ; (iii) a prime divisor of  $\mathfrak{p}_j$  in  $k_{n_j}$  inerts in  $k_{n_{j+1}}$ . To see this, we first note  $k_1 \neq k_2$  implies  $k_i \neq k_{i+1}$  for  $i=1, 2, \dots$ . Moreover we see that  $l > 2$  implies  $F^{N_j} = F \cap k_{n_j}^{N_j}$ . Indeed, if  $x = \gamma^{N_j} \in F$  for  $\gamma \in k_{n_j}$ , then by [1, Satz 1] there exists an element  $c$  of  $k$  such that  $x = c^{N_j}$ . On the other hand, since  $x \in F$ , we can write  $(x) = (c)^{N_j} = \prod_i a_i^{e_i}$ . Here we note that  $a_i$  are distinct prime ideals of  $k$ , so that we have  $N_j \mid e_i$  and  $(c) = \prod_i a_i^{e_i/N_j} \in A$ . Thus we obtain  $c \in F$  and  $x = c^{N_j} \in F^{N_j}$ . Hence we have a natural isomorphism  $F/F^{N_j} = F/(F \cap k_{n_j}^{N_j}) \cong F \cdot k_{n_j}^{N_j}/k_{n_j}^{N_j}$ , and so  $\text{Gal}(k_{n_j}(N_j \sqrt{F})/k_{n_j})$  is isomorphic to the dual of  $F/F^{N_j}$ . Furthermore  $k_{n_j}(N_j \sqrt{F})$  and  $k_{n_{j+1}}$  are linearly disjoint over  $k_{n_j}$ . In fact, if  $k_{n_{j+1}} \subset k_{n_j}(N_j \sqrt{F})$ , then we can choose an element  $x$  of  $F$  such that  $k_{n_{j+1}} = k_{n_j}(\sqrt[l]{x})$ . Since  $k_{n_{j+1}}/k$  is an abelian extension, it follows that  $k(\sqrt[l]{x})/k$  is a cyclic extension of degree  $l$ ; in particular,  $k(\sqrt[l]{x})$  contains  $\zeta_l$ . On the other hand,

$[k(\zeta_l): k]$  divides  $l-1$ , and hence from  $[k(\sqrt[l]{x}): k]=l$  we see that  $\zeta_l \in k$ , which contradicts the assumption. This proves that  $k_{n_j}(\sqrt[l]{F})$  and  $k_{n_{j+1}}$  are linearly disjoint over  $k_{n_j}$ . Therefore we can take elements  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  of  $\text{Gal}(k_{n_{j+1}}(\sqrt[l]{F})/k_{n_j})$  such that  $\langle \sigma \rangle = \text{Gal}(k_{n_{j+1}}(\sqrt[l]{F})/k_{n_{j+1}}(\sqrt[l]{F_j}))$  and  $\langle \tau \rangle = \text{Gal}(k_{n_{j+1}}(\sqrt[l]{F})/k_{n_j}(\sqrt[l]{F}))$ . Put  $\rho = \sigma\tau$  and let  $K$  be the fixed field of  $\langle \rho \rangle$ . Then  $k_{n_{j+1}}(\sqrt[l]{F})/K$  is cyclic of degree  $N_j$ . Hence by the Čebotarev density theorem we can choose a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{P}_j$  of  $k_{n_{j+1}}(\sqrt[l]{F})$  prime to  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s$ , and  $l$  such that the decomposition group of  $\mathfrak{P}_j$  is  $\langle \rho \rangle$ . Put  $\mathfrak{p}_j = \mathfrak{P}_j \cap k$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p}_j$  satisfies (i), (ii), and (iii).

Put  $S = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r$ . We prove this  $S$  satisfies the conditions of our theorem. First we see the following: (1)  $\#(k(\mathfrak{p}_j)/k'_j) = N_j$ ; (2)  $F_j \subset k'_j$ ; (3)  $F' \subset k'_s$ , in particular,  $E_k \subset k'_s$ , i. e.,  $P(S)/P'_s \cong k(S)/k'_s$ ; (4)  $F \cdot k'_j/k'_j = \langle \beta_j \rangle k'_j/k'_j$  is cyclic of order  $N_j$ ; (5)  $F \cdot k'_s/k'_s \cong \prod_j (F \cdot k'_j/k'_j)$  (direct product). Indeed, from (ii) and (iii) we see that  $\mathfrak{p}_j$  is completely decomposed in  $k_{n_j}$  but not in  $k_{n_{j+1}}$ , so that (1) holds by [2, Teil I, Satz 19, S. 39]. Let  $\mathfrak{P}$  be a prime divisor of  $\mathfrak{p}_j$  in  $k_{n_j}$ . Then, as is easily seen,  $k_{n_j}(\mathfrak{P})/(k_{n_j})_{\mathfrak{P}} \cong k(\mathfrak{p}_j)/k_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$  and  $k \cap (k_{n_j})_{\mathfrak{P}} = k_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$ , since  $\mathfrak{p}_j$  is completely decomposed in  $k_{n_j}$ . Therefore using (1) we have that, for  $x \in k(\mathfrak{p}_j)$ ,  $x$  is  $N_j$ -th power residue modulo  $\mathfrak{P}$  in  $k_{n_j}$  if and only if  $x \in k'_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$ . Hence, by Kummer Theory (e. g. see [2, Teil II, S. 45])  $x \in k'_j$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{p}_j$  is completely decomposed in  $k_{n_j}(\sqrt[l]{x})/k$ , and so we have (2) and (3) from (ii). Furthermore (4) follows from (1) and (2), because we know by (ii) that  $\beta_j$  is not  $l$ -th power residue modulo  $\mathfrak{p}_j$ . Finally we check (5). Clearly the natural homomorphism:  $F \cdot k'_s/k'_s \rightarrow \prod_j (F \cdot k'_j/k'_j)$  is injective. Moreover, using the direct decomposition  $F/F^{N_0} = \langle \beta_1 \rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus \langle \beta_r \rangle \oplus \bar{F}'$  and (4), we see this is surjective, so that (5) holds.

Next we prove  $\ker(f) = A \cap P'_s$ . If  $\prod_i a_i^{h_i} \in P'_s$ , then  $\prod_i a_i^{h_i} = (x)$  for  $x \in F \cap k'_s$ . Here we write  $x = \prod_j \beta_j^{t_j} y$  with  $y \in F'$ . Since  $f(\varphi(y)) = 1$ , we see  $f \circ \varphi(x) = \prod_j f \circ \varphi(\beta_j)^{t_j}$ , i. e.,  $\prod_i a_i^{h_i} = \prod_j b_j^{t_j}$ . On the other hand,  $x, y \in k'_s$  implies  $\prod_j \beta_j^{t_j} \in k'_s$ , so that  $N_j | t_j$  by (2), (4) and (5). Hence we obtain  $\prod_i a_i^{h_i} = \prod_j b_j^{t_j} = 1$ , which shows  $A \cap P'_s \subset \ker(f)$ . In particular,  $\#(A \cap P/A \cap P'_s) \geq \#(A \cap P/\ker(f)) = \#(N)$ . On the other hand, using (1)~(5), we see  $A \cap P/A \cap P'_s \cong F/F \cap k'_s \cong F \cdot k'_s/k'_s \cong \prod_j (F \cdot k'_j/k'_j) \cong \prod_j \langle \beta_j \rangle k'_j/k'_j \cong \prod_j (k(\mathfrak{p}_j)/k'_{\mathfrak{p}_j}) \cong k(S)/k'_s \cong P(S)/P'_s$ . In particular,  $\#(A \cap P/A \cap P'_s) = \#(P(S)/P'_s) = \prod_j N_j = \#(N)$ . Thus we have  $\ker(f) = A \cap P'_s$ .

Therefore we obtain a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 1 & \longrightarrow & P(S)/P'_s & \longrightarrow & I(S)/P'_s & \longrightarrow & I/P & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{IR} & & \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & A \cap P/A \cap P'_s & \longrightarrow & A/A \cap P'_s & \longrightarrow & A/A \cap P & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow \text{IR} & & \downarrow \text{IR} & & \downarrow \text{IR} & & \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & I/P & \longrightarrow & 1.
 \end{array}$$

Since  $A \cap P/A \cap P'_S \cong P(S)/P'_S$ , we see by the diagram that the natural injection:  $A/A \cap P'_S \rightarrow I(S)/P'_S$  gives an isomorphism. Thus we obtain an isomorphism  $\Phi: I(S)/P'_S \rightarrow M$ , as required. This proves the theorem.

### 3. Remark.

The assumptions  $\zeta_1 \notin k$  and  $k_1 \neq k_2$  are necessary for the theorem. However we can prove similarly without these assumptions that for an arbitrarily given abelian extension  $M$  of the ideal class group  $I/P$  of  $k$  by a finite abelian  $l$ -group  $N$  there exist infinitely many tamely ramified abelian extensions  $K/k$  which satisfy the following: (1)  $K$  coincides with the genus field of  $K/k$  (i.e., the maximal abelian extension of  $k$  contained in the Hilbert class field of  $K$ ); (2) there exists an isomorphism  $\Phi: \text{Gal}(K/k) \rightarrow M$  inducing an isomorphism  $\text{Gal}(K/\bar{k}) \rightarrow N$ , which makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(K/\bar{k}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(K/k) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & I/P & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

commutative, where  $\bar{k}$  denotes the Hilbert class field of  $k$ .

### References

- [1] H. Hasse, Zum Existenzsatz von Grunwald in der Klassenkörpertheorie, J. Reine. Angew. Math., 188 (1950), 40-64.
- [2] H. Hasse, Bericht über neuere Untersuchungen und Problem aus der Theorie der algebraischen Zahlkörper, Physica-Verlag Würzburg-Wien, 1970.

Teruo TAKEUCHI  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of General Education  
 Niigata University  
 Niigata 950-21  
 Japan