# Cluster Model Calculations for Charge States of a Silicon Vacancy

## Youichi Yamakawa<sup>a</sup> and Yoshiaki $\bar{\mathbf{O}}$ no<sup>a,b</sup>

 $^a$ Department of Physics, Niigata University, Ikarashi, Ni<br/>shi-ku, Niigata 950-2181, Japan $^b$ Center for Transdisciplinary Research, Niigata University, Ikarashi, Ni<br/>shi-ku, Niigata 950-2181, Japan

E-mail: yamakawa@phys.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp

**Abstract.** To investigate the charge states of a silicon vacancy, we introduce a cluster model which includes both the Coulomb interaction U between electrons in the dangling bond and the coupling g between the electrons and Jahn-Teller phonons, and solve the model by using the numerical diagonalization method. It is found that, for U > 0 and g = 0, the ground state of the neutral charge state  $V^0$  is spin singlet (S = 0) and orbital doublet. When g is varied for a finite U, the ground state changes to the orbital triplet state with S = 1 at an intermediate coupling  $g_{c1}$ , and finally changes to the orbital triplet state with S = 0 at a strong coupling  $g_{c2}$ . The obtained result for  $g > g_{c2}$  is consistent with the low temperature elastic softening observed in non-doped crystalline silicon.

## 1. Introduction

Recent ultrasonic measurements have revealed that the elastic constant of non-doped crystalline silicon shows an anomalous softening at low temperature below 20K down to 20mK independent of the external magnetic field up to 16T [1]. It is considered that the vacancy with the neutral charge state  $V^0$  is responsible for the elastic softening. On the other hand, the softening of B-doped silicon disappears due to the external magnetic field at 2T or more, and it is considered that the charge state  $V^+$  whose valence is +1 with S = 1/2 is consistent with the experimental results by taking into account of the spin orbit interaction [2]. In early theoretical studies, Schlüter *et al.* [3, 4, 5] showed that the 3-fold orbital degeneracy in the ground state of a silicon vacancy is removed due to the tetragonal (E) mode Jahn-Teller distortion within the adiabatic approximation. In addition, when the chemical potential  $\mu$  decreases, the vacancy state changes from  $V^0$  state to  $V^{++}$  state whose valence is +2 with S = 0 and then the  $V^+$  state is unstable. These results seem to be inconsistent with the newly discovered elastic softening. Therefore, the nonadiabatic effect of the Jahn-Teller distortions, which was not considered in early theoretical studies, is thought to play crucial role for the low temperature elastic softening.

In our previous papers [6, 7], we investigated the silicon vacancy state, paying attention to the effect of the nonadiabatic Jahn-Teller distortions strongly coupled to electrons via the electron-phonon coupling, together with the correlation effect due to the electron-electron Coulomb interaction. For this purpose, we introduced a cluster model that takes account of the breathing, tetragonal and trigonal mode Jahn-Teller phonons (E+B+T) where the parameters were determined so as to reproduce the previous model derived by Schlüter *et al.* for a silicon vacancy on the basis of the first-principle calculation [3, 4]. What we found are; the  $V^+$  state becomes stable due to the strong coupling effect with the trigonal mode phonon (T) while unstable with the tetragonal mode phonon (E). In addition, the  $V^0$  state shows the transition from S = 0 to S = 1 at a large  $g_{\rm T}$  [7]. In this paper, we discuss the ground state of the  $V^0$  in detail.

## 2. Model

Our cluster model includes 6 orbitals: 4 orbitals  $(i = a \sim d)$  of dangling bonds in the nearest neighbor atoms of the vacancy and 2 orbitals from the valence band and the conduction band, respectively [7]. The model Hamiltonian is given by;

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_U + \mathcal{H}_Q + \mathcal{H}_{CV}.$$
 (1)

 $\mathcal{H}_0$  is a one-body term of electrons in the dangling bonds and  $\mathcal{H}_U$  is the Coulomb interaction term given by,

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \varepsilon \sum_{i=a}^d \sum_{\sigma=\pm 1} a_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{i\sigma} + t \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{\sigma=\pm 1} a_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{j\sigma}, \qquad (2)$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_U = U \sum_{i=a}^d \sum_{\sigma=\pm 1} a_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} a_{i\uparrow} a_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} a_{i\downarrow}, \qquad (3)$$

where  $a_{i\sigma}^{\dagger}$  and  $a_{i\sigma}$  are the creation and annihilation operators for an electron at site *i* with spin  $\sigma$ , respectively.  $\varepsilon$  is a energy level of the dangling bonds and an electron in a dangling bond orbital transfers to another orbital via transfer integral *t*. *U* is the on-site Coulomb interaction.  $\mathcal{H}_Q$  in Eq. (1) is an electron-lattice interaction term and is explicitly given as follows,

$$\mathcal{H}_{Q} = \sum_{i,j=a}^{d} \sum_{\sigma=\pm 1} \sum_{\nu} g_{\nu}^{ij} Q_{\nu} a_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{i\sigma} + \sum_{\nu} \left( \frac{P_{\nu}^{2}}{2M_{\nu}} + \frac{1}{2} K_{\nu} Q_{\nu}^{2} \right) - \beta' Q_{\mathrm{B}},\tag{4}$$

where  $Q_{\nu}$  and  $P_{\nu}$  are local distortion and the conjugate momentum with the effective mass  $M_{\nu}$  together with the spring constant  $K_{\nu}$ , respectively.  $\beta'$  shows the effect of pressure from surrounding atoms.  $g_{\nu}^{ij}$  is the electron-lattice coupling constant [7]. Subscript  $\nu$  represents the mode of the Jahn-Teller distortions: B (B :  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ ), E (E<sub>1</sub> :  $x^2 - y^2$ , E<sub>2</sub> :  $3z^2 - r^2$ ) and T (T<sub>1</sub> : yz, T<sub>2</sub> : zx, T<sub>3</sub> : xy) corresponding to the breathing, tetragonal and trigonal modes, respectively. Here, we replace the  $Q_{\nu}$  and  $P_{\nu}$  in Eq. (4) by the phonon operators,  $Q_{\nu} = \sqrt{\hbar/2M_{\nu}\omega_{\nu}}(b_{\nu} + b_{\nu}^{\dagger})$  and  $P_{\nu} = i\sqrt{M_{\nu}\hbar\omega_{\nu}/2}(b_{\nu} - b_{\nu}^{\dagger})$ , with  $\omega_{\nu} = \sqrt{K_{\nu}/M_{\nu}}$ , for each mode  $\nu$ , respectively.  $\mathcal{H}_{\rm CV}$  in Eq. (1) is an effect of the conduction and valence band and is given as follows,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm CV} = \sum_{\sigma=\pm 1} \left\{ \varepsilon_{\rm C} a^{\dagger}_{\rm C\sigma} a_{\rm C\sigma} + \varepsilon_{\rm V} a^{\dagger}_{\rm V\sigma} a_{\rm V\sigma} + \sum_{i=a}^{d} \left( t_{\rm C} a^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} a_{\rm C\sigma} + t_{\rm V} a^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} a_{\rm V\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right) \right\},\tag{5}$$

where  $\varepsilon_{\rm C}$  and  $\varepsilon_{\rm V}$  are energy levels of the bottom of the conduction band and the top of the valence band, respectively. An electron in a dangling bond orbital transfers to the conduction band via  $t_{\rm C}$  and to the valence band via  $t_{\rm V}$ , respectively. The parameters of this model are determined so as to reproduce the Schlüter's results [3, 4] within the adiabatic approximation for the distortions together with the mean-field approximation for the Coulomb interaction.

#### 3. Results

First, we examine the effect of the Coulomb interaction in the absence of  $\mathcal{H}_Q$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{CV}$  in Eq. (1). As shown in Fig. 1(a), the 4-fold degenerate dangling bond orbitals hybridize with each other to form the non-degenerate bonding orbital  $(A_1)$  and the 3-fold degenerate anti-bonding orbitals  $(T_2)$ . In the case of the neutral charge state  $V^0$  with  $A_1^2 T_2^2$  (see Fig. 1 (b)), 2 electrons



**Figure 1.** Energy level splitting due to the transfer integral t (a) and  $A_1^2 T_2^2$  configurations of the neutral charge state  $V^0$  (b).

are occupied in the  $A_1$  orbital, and (1) two electrons are in the same  $T_2$  orbital with spin singlet (S = 0) and orbital triplet :  $|s_i^a\rangle$ , (2) two electrons are in different  $T_2$  orbitals with spin singlet (S = 0) and orbital triplet :  $|s_i^b\rangle$ , (3) two electrons are in different  $T_2$  orbitals with spin triplet (S = 1) and orbital triplet :  $|t_i^{Sz}\rangle$ .

 $\mathcal{H}_U$  in Eq. (3) can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{H}_{U} = \frac{U}{4} \left[ \sum_{i,j=0,x,y,z} \left( c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{i\uparrow} c_{j\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{j\downarrow} + c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{j\uparrow} c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{j\downarrow} + c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{j\uparrow} c_{j\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{i\downarrow} \right) + \sum_{i_{1} \neq i_{2} \neq i_{3} \neq i_{4}} c_{i_{1}\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{i_{2}\uparrow} c_{i_{3}\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{i_{4}\downarrow} \right], \quad (6)$$

where  $c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger}$  and  $c_{i\sigma}$  are the creation and annihilation operators for an electron at  $A_1$  orbital (i = 0)and  $T_2$  orbitals (i = x, y, z) with spin  $\sigma$ , respectively. The charge state  $|s_i^b\rangle$  is an eigenstate of  $\mathcal{H}_U$  in Eq. (3) with eigenenergy (5/4)U, and  $|t_i^{Sz}\rangle$  is that with (3/4)U. As for the charge states  $|s_i^a\rangle$  (i = 1, 2, 3),  $\mathcal{H}_U$  is written by the following matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} U & (1/4)U & (1/4)U \\ (1/4)U & U & (1/4)U \\ (1/4)U & (1/4)U & U \end{pmatrix}.$$
(7)

The off diagonal term in the matrix (7) comes from the second term in the right-hand side of Eq. (6) and represents the transfer of a pair of  $\uparrow$  and  $\downarrow$  electrons between  $T_2$  orbitals. Diagonalizing the matrix (7), we obtain the doubly degenerate eigenstates with eigenenergy (3/4)U and non-degenerate one with (3/2)U, as previously obtained by Lannoo *et al.* [5] (see Table 1).

For large U, we include the charge states  $A_1^1 T_2^3$  and  $A_1^0 T_2^4$  in addition to  $A_1^2 T_2^2$  and diagonalize the Hamiltonian by using the Householder method. Figure 2 shows the eigenenergies thus obtained as functions of U. We find that the ground state of  $V^0$  for U > 0 and g = 0 is spin singlet (S = 0) and orbital doublet.

Finally, we also consider the effect of the electron-phonon interaction g. We solve the Hamiltonian Eq. (1) by using the numerical diagonalization with the standard Lanczos

**Table 1.** Charge states of  $V^0$  for U > 0 and g = 0

Eigenenergy	Degeneracy	Spin	Eigenstate
(3/2)U	1	S = 0	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\left s_{1}^{a}\right\rangle+\left s_{2}^{a}\right\rangle+\left s_{3}^{a}\right\rangle\right)$
(5/4)U	3	S = 0	$\begin{vmatrix} s_i^b \\ s_i^b \end{vmatrix} \qquad (i = 1, 2, 3)$
(3/4)U	$3 \times 3$	S = 1	$ t_i^{S_z}\rangle$ $(i = 1, 2, 3; S_z = 1, 0, -1)$
(3/4)U	2	S = 0	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left( s_1^a\rangle -  s_2^a\rangle\right)$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\left(- s_1^a\rangle -  s_2^a\rangle + 2 s_3^a\rangle\right)$



algorithm, where the cutoff of the phonon number is set to be 5 for breathing mode and 7 for trigonal (or tetragonal) mode. The ground state changes from orbital doublet state with S = 0 to the orbital triplet state with S = 1 at an intermediate coupling  $g_{\rm T}^{c1} \sim 2.5$  [eV/Å], and finally changes to the orbital triplet state with S = 0 at a strong coupling  $g_{\rm T}^{c2} \sim 8.5$  [eV/Å]. The calculated result for  $g_{\rm T} > g_{\rm T}^{c2}$  is consistent with the ultrasonic experiments for the non-doped silicon [1]. We note that, in the strong coupling regime with tetragonal mode phonon instead of trigonal one, the grand state of  $V^0$  remain orbital doublet with S = 0. Therefore, it is expected that the charge state at the silicon vacancy is in the strong coupling regime with trigonal mode phonon. The explicit and detailed results for g > 0 will be shown in a subsequent paper.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank T. Goto, H. Yamada-Kaneta, Y. Nemoto, K. Miyake and H. Matsuura for many useful comments and discussions. This work was supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology.

#### References

- T. Goto, H. Yamada-Kaneta, Y. Saito Y. Nemoto, K. Sato, K. Kakimoto and S. Nakamura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 75 (2006) 044602.
- [2] H. Matsuura and K. Miyake, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 77, (2008) 043601.
- [3] M. Schlüter, Proc. Int. School of Physics " Enrico Fermi " (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1985) p. 495.
- [4] G.A. Baraff, E.O. Kane and M. Schlüter, Phys. Rev. B **21** (1980) 5662.
- [5] M. Lannoo, G. A. Baraff and M. Schlüter, Phys. Rev. B 24 (1981) 955.
- [6] Y. Yamakawa, K. Mitsumoto and Y. Ōno, J. Mag. Mag. Mat. 310, (2007) 993.
- [7] Y. Yamakawa, K. Mitsumoto and Y. Ōno, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 77, (2008) Supplement A pp. 266.