

Susceptibility of H-2 and Ly Congenic Mice to Ehrlich Ascites Tumors

Norimitsu L. SATO, Akiko KATO and Nobuyoshi FUJISAWA

Institute for Laboratory Animals, Niigata University School of Medicine, Niigata, Japan

Received December 17 1998; accepted March 1 1999

Summary. It has been shown that mice such as AKR/J (H-2^k), C3H/He (H-2^k), CBA/J (H-2^k), DBA/1(H-2^q) and DBA/2(H-2^d) are susceptible to Ehrlich ascites tumor (EAT), while other mice such as A/J (H-2^a), BALB/c (H-2^d), C57BL/6(H-2^b), C57BL/10(H-2^b), NZB/N (H-2^d) and SJL (H-2^s) are resistant to EAT. In addition, Ly haplotypes of the former EAT-progressive mouse strains classified were Ly-1^a (except AKR) and Ly-2^a, and those of the latter EAT-regressive mouse strains displayed Ly-1^b and Ly-2^b as common features. To see how the shift restricted in H-2 or in Ly haplotype affects their susceptibility, EAT progression in H-2 congenic and in Ly congenic mice was compared with that in standard mice. The results are as follows.

1) B10(H-2^b) as a standard was EAT-regressive. B10.D2(H-2^d), which is H-2 congenic between B10(H-2^b) and DBA/2(H-2^d), was EAT-regressive, although the H-2 locus of B10 shifted to the haplotype d of DBA/2. DBA/2 itself is EAT-progressive. This indicates that at least H-2K and/or D loci as class I are not simply related to mouse strain susceptibility to EAT. B10.Y (H-2^{pa}) was EAT-regressive. B10.R III (H-2^r) was almost EAT-regressive with some individual variations. B10.BR (H-2^k) and B10.SM (H-2^v) were EAT-progressive. In short, a shift in H-2 haplotype from b to k or b to v is significant in changing B10 susceptibility to EAT.

2) B6(H-2^b, Ly-1^b, Ly-2^b) as a standard was EAT-regressive. B6-Ly-1^a (H-2^b, Ly-1^a, Ly-2^b), B6-Ly-2^a (H-2^b, Ly-1^b, Ly-2^a) and B6-Ly-2^a, 3^a (H-2^b, Ly-1^b, Ly-2^a, Ly-3^a) were all EAT-regressive. No change in susceptibility was produced by the Ly-1,2,3, haplotype shift. Other B6 congenic mice such as B6-Tla^a (H-2K^k • D^b) and B6.C-H-2^{bm12}(H-2A^β:bm12) were also EAT-regressive.

From the results, it is concluded that H-2K, D loci including the TL region as class I, I-A^β subregion as class II or Ly-1,2,3 loci, are not simply related to mouse

strain susceptibility to EAT, suggesting the participation of non-MHC genes under H-2 associated control.

Key words — H-2 congenic mice, Ly congenic mice, Ehrlich tumor.

INTRODUCTION

Ehrlich ascites tumor (EAT) cells lack most H-2 antigens on their cell surface such as K^b, K^b•D^b•H-2^q, K^d, K^k, K^k•H-2^{q,r}, D^d•H-2^s, D^k as class I, and I-A^{b,f,k,p,q,r,s,u,v}, I-a^{d,j,u}, I-a^{d,f,j,p,q,v}, I-A^{d,f,j}, I-A^{f,j,(s)}, I-A^{k,r}, I-a^k, I-a^{j,q}, I-A^{j,r,s} as class II¹. Ly-1 and Ly-2 antigens are also negative.

Our present EAT cells actually grow following intraperitoneal injection of 10⁵ cells in any mouse strain. By inoculating a smaller number of EAT cells, however, mouse strains show a different susceptibility to the tumor; namely, intraperitoneally inoculated EAT cells below 10³ progress in some mouse strains but not in others. The difference in the strain susceptibility becomes more apparent when EAT is inoculated subcutaneously. EAT cells subcutaneously inoculated in a large number (2×10⁷) grow and form a solid tumor in AKR/J (H-2^k), C3H/He (H-2^k), CBA/J (H-2^k), DBA/1(H-2^q), DBA/2(H-2^d), ddY-prg (H-2^q) and ICR (closed colony, H-2^{q/?}), but they regress in A/J (H-2^a), BALB/c (H-2^d), C57BL/6(H-2^b), C57BL/10(H-2^b), NZB/N (H-2^d), SJL (H-2^s) and ddY-drm (H-2^s)².

Mouse strain-susceptibility to EAT does not depend simply on the H-2 haplotype because EAT is progressive in DBA/2 but not in BALB/c, though they show the same H-2^d.

On the other hand, Ly haplotypes of EAT-progressive mouse strains classified were Ly-1^a (except AKR) and Ly-2^a, but those of EAT-regressive

Correspondence: Norimitsu L. Sato, Institute for Laboratory Animals, Niigata University School of Medicine, Niigata 951-8510, Japan.

mouse strains displayed Ly-1^b and Ly-2^b as common features²⁾. The significance of the differentiation in Ly haplotype, however, remains unclear.

It was deemed interesting to determine how a living body recognizes and rejects those tumor cells which lack the H-2 antigen on their cell surface. In this experiment, the susceptibility of H-2 congenic or Ly congenic mice to EAT was compared with their standard strains to see how the shift restricted in H-2 or in Ly loci affects the mouse strain susceptibility to EAT.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Laboratory animals used

C57BL/10(=B10)(H-2^b, Ly-1^b, Ly-2^b), B10.D2(H-2^d), B10.BR (H-2^k) and C57BL/6(=B6) (H-2^b, Ly-1^b, Ly-2^b), mice were purchased from SLC Inc. (Shizuoka, Japan). B10.Y/Sn (H-2^{pa}), B10. RIII(71NS) /ola (H-2^r) and B10. SM (70NS) / Sn (H-2^v) mice were donated by the National Institute of Genetics (Mishima, Japan). B6-Ly-1^a (H-2^b, Ly-1^a, Ly-2^b), B6-Ly-2^a (H-2^b, Ly-1^b, Ly-2^a), B6-Ly-2^a, 3^a (H-2^b, Ly-1^b, Ly-2^a, Ly-3^a), B6-Tla^a (H-2K^k • D^b) and B6. C-H-2^{bm12}(H-2A_g:bm 12) congenic mice were donated by Aichi Cancer Center Research Institute (Nagoya, Japan).

All mice were used under specific pathogen free conditions. Three to four mice were housed in plastic cages (14.3×29.3×14.8 cm, Charles River Japan Inc., Atsugi, Japan) with bedding (cedar shavings) and fed a cube diet (CE-2, CLEA Japan Inc., Tokyo, Japan) and water *ad libitum*. All the cages and bedding were autoclaved before use and stored in a separate room. The environmental conditions of the animal room were controlled at a constant temperature (23±1°C) and humidity (45 to 75%). The room was ventilated 18 times per hour and was illuminated at 300 lx by daylight fluorescent lamps in a 12/12-hour light/dark cycle.

All animal procedures conformed to established guidelines (ILAR)³⁾ and the Guidelines for the Regulation of the Animal Experimentation (JALAS 1987)⁴⁾. The mice were killed by cervical dislocation.

Tumors

EAT, maintained by the intraperitoneal transfer of 10⁷ cells to ddY mice (closed colony, H-2^{s/q}, 5 to 8 weeks old), were harvested on days 7 to 10 post transfer and washed in phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.4). The tumor cells (2×10⁷) were inoculated subcutaneously into the central portion of the dorsal skin of each mouse. EAT outgrowth was then monitored

by measuring the length and width of the developing solid tumors.

EAT regression rates in the congenic mice were statistically analyzed in comparison with those in control mice with Fisher's exact test for fourfold tables.

RESULTS

Susceptibility of B10-H-2 congenic mice to EAT

The grades of EAT outgrowth in B10-H-2 congenic mice at 45 days after subcutaneous inoculation of the tumor cells are summarized in Table 1.

B10(H-2^b) as a standard was strongly EAT-regressive. Subcutaneously inoculated EAT (2×10⁷ cells) regressed completely and disappeared within 10 days in this strain.

B10.D2(H-2^d), which is H-2 congenic between B10(H-2^b) and DBA/2(H-2^d), was EAT-regressive, though the H-2 locus of B10 shifted to the haplotype d of DBA/2. DBA/2 itself was EAT-progressive. This indicates that at least H-2K and D loci as class I are not simply related to the mouse strain susceptibility to EAT. B10.BR (H-2^k), which is congenic of B10(H-2^b) and C57BR (H-2^k), was EAT-progressive. C57BR itself is estimated to be EAT-progressive as are AKR (H-2^k), C3H (H-2^k), and CBA (H-2^k). In B10, the shift in the H-2 haplotype from b to k was significant in changing the susceptibility to EAT. B10.Y (H-2^{pa}) was EAT-regressive. B10.RIII(H-2^r) was almost EAT-regressive with some individual variations. B10. SM (H-2^v) was EAT-progressive, indicating that SM (H-2^v) itself is EAT-progressive. The shift in H-2 haplotype from b to v in B10 was also significant in changing the mouse strain susceptibility to EAT.

No significant difference in susceptibility between male and female within the strain was observed throughout the experiment.

Susceptibility of B6-Ly-congenic mice to EAT

The grades of EAT outgrowth in B6-Ly congenic mice at 45 days after subcutaneous inoculation of the tumor cells are summarized in Table 2.

B6 mice (H-2^b, Ly-1^b, Ly-2^b) were strongly EAT-regressive. In the same manner, B6-Ly-1^a, 2^a and/or 3^a congenic mice were all EAT-regressive. No change in B6 susceptibility to EAT was produced by the Ly haplotype shift from b to a. Subcutaneously inoculated EAT regressed completely within 10 days in the congenic mice. Although the Ly haplotypes of EAT-progressive mice classified were Ly-1^a (except

AKR) and Ly-2^a and those of EAT-regressive strains classified were all Ly-1^b and Ly-2^b as common features, the shift in Ly-1 or in Ly-2, or shifts in Ly-2 and 3 loci from b to a, are therefore, not related to the mouse strain susceptibility to EAT.

Susceptibility of other congenic mice to EAT

Other B6 congenic mice such as B6-T1a^a and B6.

C-H-2^{bm12} were also examined. B6-T1a^a (H-2K^k • D^b) is TL congenic. TL loci as class I in H-2 complex usually codes surface antigens of lymphoid cells. B6.C-H-2^{bm12} (H-2A_β:bm12) is characterized by I-A subregion (class II) mutation.

As shown in Table 3, they were both EAT-regressive. No change in susceptibility to EAT was produced. Subcutaneously inoculated EAT regressed completely within 10 days. The function of the

Table 1. Susceptibility of B10-H-2 congenic mice to EAT (2×10⁷, s.c.)

Congenic mouse			EAT outgrowth at 45 days after inoculation					
Strain	H-2		—	+	++	+++	Total	Regression(%)
B10	b	♂	5 ¹⁾				5	100.0
		♀	5				5	100.0
DBA/2	b	♂				5	5	0***
		♀				5	5	0***
B10.D2 /SnSlc	d	♂	4				4	100.0
		♀	3				3	100.0
B10.BR	k	♂		1	1	2	4	0**
		♀	1	1		3	5	20.0*
B10.Y/Sn	pa	♂	5				5	100.0
		♀	5				5	100.0
B10.RIII (71NS)/ola	r	♂	14	1			15	93.3 ²⁾
		♀	10		1		11	90.9 ³⁾
B10.SM (70NS)/Sn	v	♂		1	3		4	0**
		♀		1	4		5	0***

¹⁾, number of mice, EAT outgrowth; —, completely regressive; +, solid tumor (~1cm diameter); ++, solid tumor (1~2cm diameter); +++, solid tumor (2~3 cm diameter); *, statistically significant in comparison with B10 standard with Fisher's exact test for fourfold tables (p<0.05); **, ibid. (p<0.01); ***, ibid. (p<0.005); ²⁾, not statistically significant (p=0.75); ³⁾, not statistically significant (p=0.73)

Table 2. Susceptibility of B6-Ly congenic mice to EAT (2×10⁷, s.c.)

Ly congenic				EAT outgrowth at 45 days after inoculation			
Strain	Ly-1	Ly-2	Ly-3	—	+	Total	Regression(%)
B6	b	b	♂	5 ¹⁾	0	5	100.0
			♀	5	0	5	100.0
B6-Ly-1 ^a	a	b	♂	3	0	3	100.0 ²⁾
			♀	7	0	7	100.0
B6-Ly-2 ^a	b	a	♂	4	0	4	100.0
			♀	3	0	3	100.0
B6-Ly-2 ^a ,3 ^a	b	a	♂	5	0	5	100.0
			♀	5	0	5	100.0

¹⁾, Number of mice, EAT outgrowth; —, completely regressive; +, solid tumor (~1 cm diameter),

²⁾, each regression rate in Ly congenic mice was analyzed with Fisher's exact test for fourfold tables, showing no significant difference from that in the B6 standard.

TL loci or the A_β subregion was therefore thought to be insignificant for the determination of mouse strain susceptibility to EAT.

DISCUSSION

As initially described, EAT cells lack most H-2 antigens on their cell surface. This characteristic is the result of numerous serial selections *in vivo* of the tumor cell population since the beginning of this century through outbred mice with different genetic backgrounds⁵. The differentiation of EAT-regressive and EAT-progressive mouse strains is nevertheless apparently conditioned by their H-2 haplotypes with some exceptions. Antigens due to minor histocompatibility (H) genes possibly remain on the EAT cell surface because of weak rejection by the host defense mechanism. Data concerning the immunogenicity of EAT have been reported showing the existence of such tumor-associated transplantation antigens on the EAT cell surface⁶⁻¹¹. EAT-regressive mice may recognize such minor H antigens remaining on their cell surface under the control of minor H genes frequently coordinated with a H-2 locus function. Other possibilities that some unknown tumor-resistant gene may exist within the H-2 region restricted in EAT-regressive mouse strains or a minor H gene near H-2 locus accompanied as passenger in some congenic strains, might be considered to explain such an incomplete H-2-associated phenomenon in congenic resistant strains. It has been suggested that spontaneous insulinitis and thyroiditis in the BB/Wor rat develop through common immune defects involving T cell lymphopenia, but do not always segregate together due to disease-specific interactions with the MHC-class II-linked genes¹².

Tumor rejection in the present experiment resembles in part a weak and delayed rejection of the transplanted allogeneic skin graft which is under the

control of minor H genes. It has been shown that skin grafts from MHC (class I and class II)-deficient mice are rapidly rejected by normal allogeneic recipients, and the MHC-deficient mice reject allogeneic skin grafts with little delay¹³, suggesting plasticity or some compensatory mechanisms in the immune system. Under a weak MHC gene function, the recognition by minor H genes may play an important role in rejecting allografts. In a skin graft transplantation, B6 female mice reject syngeneic skin grafts from B6 male mice^{14,15}. B6.C-H-2^{bm12} female mice, I-A subregion mutation (A_β : bm12), do not reject skin grafts from B6 male mice¹⁶. It has been suggested that minor H genes which function in the recognition of X-Y antigens are under the control of the Ir gene locus in the H-2 region. In comparison, B6.C-H-2^{bm12} mice, in both males and females, rejected transplanted EAT as strongly as B6 mice did. At least the A_β locus in the H-2 region was not functional in the process of EAT rejection in the present series of experiments. In our experimental system, functions of minor H genes might be considered under a linkage with H-2 loci to explicate the H-2 associated mouse strain susceptibility to EAT. From our previous data concerning ddY-drm and ddY-prg back cross breeding, the number of related gene loci to satisfy, [(ddY-drm \times ddY-prg) $F_1 \times$ ddY-drm]: (0.5)ⁿ = 0.27 and F_2 : (0.75)ⁿ = 0.72 according to Snell's theory¹⁷, was shown to be one or two¹⁸.

On the other hand, shifts from haplotype b to a in Ly-1, 2 and/or 3 loci left no perceptible influence on B6 mice susceptibility to EAT. At least, a single locus of Ly-1 or Ly-2, or Ly-2 and Ly-3 loci is not directly concerned with the susceptibility. The classification of Ly haplotypes into EAT-progressive and EAT-regressive mouse strains is thought to be coincidental at present, though we cannot deny the change in the susceptibility of mice with Ly-1^a and 2^a double shifts. Congenic mice with Ly-1^a, 2^a double shifts are not obtainable at present, but when they are, additional tests on their susceptibility will be

Table 3. Susceptibility of other B6 congenic mice to EAT (2×10^7 , s.c.)

Others					EAT outgrowth at 45 days after inoculation			
Strain	H-2	Ly-1	Ly-2		—	+	Total	Regression(%)
B6-T1 ^a	k/b	2	2	♂	10 ¹⁾	0	10	100.0 ²⁾
				♀	10	0	10	100.0
B6.C-H-2 ^{bm12}	b	2	2	♀	10	0	10	100.0
				♀	3	0	3	100.0

¹⁾ Number of mice, EAT outgrowth; —, completely regressive; +, solid tumor (~1 cm diameter).

²⁾ each regression rate in Ly congenic mice was analyzed with Fisher's exact test for fourfold tables, showing no significant difference from that in the B6 standard.

required.

Other Ly loci such as Ly-6, Ly-10, Ly-11, Ly-18, Ly-19, Ly-20, Ly-22 and Ly-31 do not show common differentiation into haplotype b or a classified, according to their EAT-regressive or EAT-progressive characteristics²⁾. Although Ly-antigens on the mouse lymphocyte cell surface increase in number, initially characterized by complement-dependent cytotoxicity test^{19,20)} and later by monoclonal antibodies²¹⁻²⁵⁾, the function of each Ly gene in tumor rejection remains unclear.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Drs. K. Moriwaki (National Institute of Genetics, Mishima, Japan) and T. Takahashi (Aichi Cancer Center Research Institute, Nagoya, Japan) for donating H-2 and Ly-congenic mice. This work was supported in part by a Grant-in Aid from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan and grant from the Medical Research Foundation of Niigata University, Japan.

REFERENCES

- 1) Sato NL, Kato A: Passive immune transfer of murine tumor-dormant disposition. *Acta Med Biol* **42**: 7-12, 1994.
- 2) Sato NL, Kato A, Fujisawa N: Mouse Ly-gene haplotypes and subcutaneous regression of Ehrlich ascites tumor. *Exp Anim* **43**: 227-233, 1994.
- 3) ILAR: Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. ILAR/NIH, 1996.
- 4) JALAS: Opinion Concerning Animal Experimentation. JALAS, 1992.
- 5) Stewart HL, Snell KC, Dunham LJ: Ehrlich tumor. In: Transplantable and transmissible tumors of animals. Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, Washington, DC; 1956, p317-324.
- 6) Gil J, Alvarez R, Vinuela JE, Ruiz de Morales JG, Bustos A, De la Concha EG, Subiza JL: Inhibition of in vivo tumor growth by a monoclonal IgM antibody recognizing tumor cell surface carbohydrates. *Cancer Res* **50**: 7301-7306, 1990.
- 7) Kimura Y, Tanino T: Survival of host mice and induced resistance to transplantable ascites tumor. *Jap J Exp Med* **36**: 371-405, 1966.
- 8) Subiza JL, Coll J, Alvarez R, Valdivieso M, De la Concha EG: IgM response and resistance to ascites tumor growth. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* **25**: 87-92, 1987.
- 9) Tanino T, Saito M, Egawa K: Purification of cell line-specific transplantation antigens from mouse ascites tumor cells. *Gann* **73**: 299-307, 1982.
- 10) Subiza JL, Gil L, Rodriguez R, Ruiz de Morales JG, Vinuela JE, De la Concha EG: Tumor cytostasis mediated by a monoclonal IgM antibody promoting adhesion between macrophages and tumor cells. *J Immunol* **148**: 2636-2642, 1992.
- 11) Sato NL, Niimura S, Fujisawa N, Maeda Y: Characterization of vascular permeability-increasing component isolated from solid tumors and the effect of highly polymerized dextran sulfate on its activity. *Jpn J Pharmacol* **41**: 163-171, 1986.
- 12) Awata T, Guberski DL, Like AA: Genetics of the BB rat: association of autoimmune disorders (diabetes, insulinitis, and thyroiditis) with lymphopenia and major histocompatibility complex class II. *Endocrinology* **136**: 5731-5735, 1995.
- 13) Grusby MJ, Auchincloss H Jr, Lee R, Johnson RS, Spencer JP, Zijlstra M, Jaenisch R, Papaioannou VE, Glimcher LH: Mice lacking major histocompatibility complex class I and class II molecules. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **90**: 3913-3917, 1993.
- 14) Bailey DW, Hoste J: A gene governing the female immune response to the male antigen in mice. *Transplantation* **11**: 404-407, 1971.
- 15) Bailey DW: Allelic forms of a gene controlling the female immune response to the male antigen in mice. *Transplantation* **11**: 426-428, 1971.
- 16) McKenzie IFC, Henning MM, Michaelides M: Skin graft rejection and delayed-type hypersensitivity response to H-Y in an I-A^b mutant. *Immunogenetics* **20**: 475-480, 1984.
- 17) Snell GD, Dausset J, Nathenson SD: Histocompatibility. Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- 18) Sato NL: Passive immune transfer of murine tumor-dormant disposition and efficiency of adoptive immunotherapy. In Premalignancy and tumor dormancy. Yefenof E, Scheuermann RH (ed), Springer, N.Y. 1989, p71-87.
- 19) Gorer PA, O'Gorman P: Cytotoxic test for demonstration of mouse antibody. *Method Med Res* **10**: 39-47, 1956.
- 20) Boyse EA, Old LJ, Chouroulinkov I: Cytotoxic test for demonstration of mouse antibody. *Method Med Res* **10**: 39-47, 1964.
- 21) Tada N, Kimura S, Hatzfeld A, Hammerling U: Ly-m11; The H-3 region of mouse chromosome 2 controls a new surface alloantigen. *Immunogenetics* **11**: 441-449, 1980.
- 22) Kimura S, Tada N, Liu-Lam Y, Hammerling U: The presence of Ly-m11 alloantigen in mouse body fluids. *Immunogenetics* **14**: 445-447, 1981.
- 23) Tomonari K, Tada N, Kimura S, Hammerling U, Weesler ME: Inhibition of killer-target cell interaction by monoclonal anti-Ly-m11 antibody. *Immunogenetics* **15**: 605-608, 1982.
- 24) Kimura S, Tada N, Yen LL, Hammerling U: Exchange of cell-mediated β -2-microglobulin in mouse chimeras. *Immunogenetics* **18**: 173-175, 1983.
- 25) Tada N, Kimura S, Hammerling U: Immunogenetics of mouse B-cell alloantigen systems defined by monoclonal antibodies and gene-cluster formation of these loci. *Immunol Rev* **69**: 99-126, 1982.